2017/2018 Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program Factual Findings

USFS - Sierra National Forest

The information provided below is the OHMVR Division Factual Findings for this Applicant. The information provided reflects the OHMVR Division's review and determination of the Applicant's final application.

For information regarding the appeal process, see Section 4970.17 of the Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program regulations at http://www.ohv.parks.ca.gov/pages/1140/files/2008-regulations-rev-1-16.pdf

General Evaluation Criteria

- #1d OHV Opportunity Ratio. Add 3 points.
- #6 Applicant has been responsive. Add 3 points.
- #11b Narrative does not support 'organized, scheduled events' that are 'onsite'. Deduct 1 point.

Ground Operations G17-02-17-G01 Project Description

No change.

Project Cost Estimate

- Equipment Use "HS Vehicle Upgrades...", radios are an indirect expense. Applicant must move \$500 in grant costs to the indirect cost category.
- Indirect now exceeds 15%. Reduce the \$500 in grant costs and an additional \$72 in grant indirect, for a total reduction of indirect funds to \$108,162.

Revised Grant request is now \$829,242. Total Project Costs are \$1,140,961.

Evaluation Criteria

 #6 – Narrative does not support 'Protecting special-status species'. Applicant did not provide that there was a special status species in the Project area. Deduct 1 point.

Education and Safety	G17-02-17-S01
Project Description	
No change.	

2017/2018 Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program Factual Findings

Project Cost Estimate

- Material / Supplies "Folding Chairs" Is only eligible as an indirect cost. Move line item to Indirect Grant Request Category. Move \$220 Grant Request to Grant Indirect.
- Material / Supplies "Folding Tables" Is only eligible as an indirect cost. Move line item to Indirect Grant Request Category. Move \$189 Grant Request to Grant Indirect.
- Material / Supplies "Education public handout items" Applicant did not clarify what the educational message is on Educational public handout items (i.e. pamphlets, brochures, training books) and provide a breakdown for how the total cost for this line item was determined. Comparing this project to like projects remove half the quantity requested. Deduct \$5,000 Grant Request.
- Material / Supplies "Woodsy Owl Costume" Is an ineligible expense because it does not relate to the scope of the project. Deduct \$3000 Grant Request.
- Material / Supplies "Official Booths" Is only eligible as an indirect cost. Move line item to Indirect Grant Request Category. Move \$6,000 Grant Request to Grant Indirect.
- Material / Supplies "Information / Kiosk Boards" Fire danger signs are ineligible because they do not relate to the scope of the Project. Remove line item. Deduct \$6,000 Grant Request.
- Materials Supplies- Paper/Aluminum/Plastic/Wood/Metal signs- item is a ground operations function therefore ineligible. Remove line item. Deduct \$5,000 Grant Request.
- Other "Outdoor worklight" Is only eligible as an indirect cost. Move line item to Indirect Grant Request Category. Move \$100 Grant Request to Grant Indirect.
- Indirect Costs exceeds 15% maximum limit. Deduct \$5,624 from Grant Request, revised line item total \$885.

Revised Grant Request Total: \$6,785

Match Request Total: \$24,085 Revised Total Project: \$30,870

Evaluation Criteria

- #3 Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with their assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator the previous year. Add 3 points.
- #4 Narrative does not support selection. Partners will be asked to participate but are not guaranteed and additional partners were added without Division or public comment. Deduct 4 points.
- #5 Project Description does not support selection. Applicant failed to explain how project will address the various types. Deduct 6 points.

2017/2018 Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program Factual Findings

- #7 Narrative does not support "Objectives", "Testing process to ensure actions are effective", and "Plan to Implement the Project". Deduct 6 points.
- #8 Narrative does not support the selections. Applicant did not provide a detailed explanation for each selection chosen. Deduct 14 points.
- #9 Narrative does not support selection. Deduct 4 points.
- #10 Narrative does support the selection. Applicant had no Education methods listed in evaluation criteria #8. Deduct 4 points.
- #11 Narrative does not support selection. The project does not provide ATV Safety Institute and/or Motorcycle Safety Foundation training as part of the project. Deduct 2 points